

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patend and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSMENTER FOR PATENTS P.D. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.pspc.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,279		11/08/2001	Santanu Dutta	P15252-US1 5068	
27045	7590	02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
ERICSSON INC.				TRAN, PHILIP B	
6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR C11				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO, TX 75024				2155	
				DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	0.65 A . 1' O	10/010,279	DUTTA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Philip B. Tran	2155				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 November 2005.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(e)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) . e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/05.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e				

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060203

# Response to Request for Reconsideration

### Notice to Applicant

This communication is in response to the amendment filed 11 November 2005.
 Claims 1-42 are pending for further examination.

# Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Ito, U.S. Pat. Application No. US 2002/0116285 A1.

Regarding claim 1, Ito teaches a method of providing authentication for a network-based transaction, the method comprising presenting a first information set to a user through an Internet access device, the first information set being associated with the transaction, creating a coupling between the first information set and a second information set, wherein the second information set is also associated with the transaction, presenting the second information set to the user and requesting authorization of the transaction at a mobile terminal using public land mobile network (PLMN) radio resources, and receiving authorization information for the transaction from

transaction utilizes a mobile station to make a purchase through a mobile network PLMN that has a network accounting server which bills network subscriber charges to the subscriber wherein authorization including the invoice and receipt information are digitally signed for authentication purposes) [see Abstract, Figs. 1 & 3-6, and Paragraphs [0034-0045]].

Regarding claim 2, Ito further teaches the method of claim 1 wherein creating the coupling further comprises sending a wireless application protocol (WAP) push message to the mobile terminal [see Paragraphs [0002] and [0026-0029]].

Regarding claims 3-4, Ito further teaches the authorization information comprises client-side public key infrastructure (PKI) information [see Paragraphs [0038] and [0040-0043]].

Regarding claims 5-6, Ito further teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the authorization information comprises a password and a caller line identification (caller ID) for the mobile terminal [see Paragraphs [00500053]].

Claim 7 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 8 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 2.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060203

Regarding claim 9, Ito further teaches the method of claim 8 wherein the WAP push message comprises a hyperlink to the second information set [see Paragraph [0042]].

Regarding claim 10, Ito further teaches the method of claim 9 wherein the first information set is formatted in hypertext markup language (HTML) and the second information set is formatted in wireless markup language (WML) [see Paragraph [0002]].

Regarding claim 11, Ito further teaches the method of claim 10 wherein the second information set is further formatted to be signed by a user using a WAP signText script [see Paragraphs [0033] and [00380041]].

Regarding claims 12-15, Ito further teaches the authentication information comprises client-side public key infrastructure (PKI) information [see Paragraphs [0038] and [0040-0043]].

Claims 16-18 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 19 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 2.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 9-

11, respectively.

Claims 23-26 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 12-15.

Claim 27 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Claims 28-29 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 8-9, respectively.

Claims 30-32 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 12-15.

Claim 33 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 27.

Regarding claim 34, Ito further teaches the system of claim 33 wherein the WML server and the HTML server operate on a single computing platform [see Paragraph [0002]].

Regarding claim 35, Ito further teaches the system of claim 33 wherein the network connection is an Internet connection [see Fig. 1].

Regarding claims 36-38, Ito further teaches the coupling is created at least in part by sending a wireless application protocol (WAP) push message to the mobile terminal [see Paragraphs [0002] and [0026-0029]].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060203

Regarding claims 39-42, Ito further teaches the authentication information comprises client-side public key infrastructure (PKI) information [see Paragraphs [0038] and [0040-0043]].

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Ito teaches performing a purchasing transaction utilizes a mobile station to make a purchase through a mobile network PLMN that has a network accounting server which bills network subscriber charges to the subscriber wherein authorization including the invoice and receipt information are digitally signed for authentication purposes [see Abstract, Figs. 1 & 3-6, and Paragraphs [0034-0045]].

Applicant argues that "As an example of the present invention, a user requests to purchase certain items ("transaction") over the Internet using a personal computer connected to a wireline communication network. The user's mobile terminal then receives an authentication request ("second information set") from a particular server handling the requested transaction. The user then approves/authenticates the request over his mobile station. In response to receiving the authentication information from the mobile station over a mobile network, the server then provides the requested transaction to the user over the wireline communication network. By receiving the authentication from the user's trusted mobile station, the server is then able to authenticate and verify the "purchase" or "transaction" that is being requested over the Internet from that particular user' and "The applicant submits that such "dual device"

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060203

and "dual network" authentication process is not anticipated or rendered obvious by the Ito reference."

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "dual device" and "dual network" authentication process) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant argued that the described dual devices comprise the "internet access device" and the "mobile terminal" and the described dual networks comprise the "first communication network" and the "public land mobile network." However, the language of the claim is vague because an "internet access device" and a "mobile terminal" could be the same device. Likewise, a "first communication network" and a "public land mobile network" could be the same network. The claimed language does not teach or disclose two different devices over two different networks. Therefore, "dual device" and "dual network" are not recited in the rejected claim(s), let alone "dual device" and "dual network" authentication process.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060203

#### Other References Cited

5. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- A) l'Anson et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2002/0028671 A1.
- B) Brand, European Pat. Application No. EP 0883318 A1.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST REPLY IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CAR 1.136(A) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT, HOWEVER, WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060203

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip B. Tran

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2155

Feb 03, 2006